

VALERIE G. DUGAN
BRIAN M. DUGAN, PH.D.

DUGAN & DUGAN, PC
PATENTS, TRADEMARKS & COPYRIGHTS
55 SOUTH BROADWAY
TARRYTOWN, NY 10591

(914)332-9081 TELEPHONE
(914)332-9082 FACSIMILE
DUGANEMAIL@DUGANPATENT.COM

FACSIMILE COVER SHEET

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

MAR 22 2005

DATE: MAR 22, 2005

PLEASE DELIVER THE ATTACHED MESSAGE TO:

TO: MATTHEW D. ANDERSON TELEPHONE NO.: 571-272-4177

FACSIMILE NO.: 703-872-9306

FROM: Steven M. Santusi

TOTAL NUMBER OF PAGES INCLUDING THIS PAGE:

3

MESSAGE:

Serial No. 04886,817
Filed 6/21/01
ROC 920010098431

* * *

THIS FACSIMILE IS INTENDED ONLY FOR THE USE OF THE
ADDRESSEE. THE CONTENT OF THIS FACSIMILE IS PRIVILEGED
AND CONFIDENTIAL. IF YOU HAVE RECEIVED THIS FACSIMILE
IN ERROR, OR IF YOU HAVE NOT RECEIVED LEGIBLE COPIES OF
ALL PAGES, PLEASE NOTIFY US BY TELEPHONE IMMEDIATELY.

* * *

ROC920010098US1

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant(s) : Brent William Jacobs
Serial No. : 09/886,817
Filed : June 21, 2001
For : METHOD OF ALLOCATING PHYSICAL MEMORY SPACE
HAVING PINNED AND NON-PINNED REGIONS
Examiner : Matthew D. Anderson
Group Art Unit : 2186
Customer No. : 31647

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

MAR 22 2005

Mail Stop Amendment
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

INTERVIEW SUMMARY

Sir:


On March 22, 2005 an interview was held between Examiner Anderson and Applicants' representative Steven Santisi with regard to the above captioned case.

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING/TRANSMISSION

(37 C.F.R. section 1.8(a))

I hereby certify that, on the date shown below, this correspondence is being:

☒ transmitted by facsimile to the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office.


Signature

March 22, 2005
Date

Steven M. Santisi
(name of person certifying)

ROC920010098US1

Claim 15 and the Section 101 rejection of Claim 15 were discussed. The Examiner asserted that the Office viewed "carrier waves" as too abstract to pass Section 101 muster. Applicants' representative disagreed and asserted that such a position seemed counter to established law.

Regardless and solely to expedite prosecution, Applicants' representative agreed to amend Claim 15 to make it less abstract. A proposed amendment (see below) was discussed. Agreement was reached as to the allowability of Claim 15 as amended in the proposed amendment. The Examiner indicated he would enter the proposed amendment and issue a Notice of Allowability.

The proposed amendment read as follows:


15. (PRESENTLY AMENDED) A computer program product comprising:

a medium storing the program product and readable by a computer, the computer readable medium having computer program code adapted to:

allocate non-pinned memory requests from a first boundary of a physical memory space defined between the first boundary and a second boundary; and

allocate pinned memory requests from the second boundary of the physical memory space.

Respectfully Submitted,



Steve M. Santisi, Esq.
Registration No. 40,157
Dugan & Dugan, PC
Attorneys for Applicants
(914) 332-9081

Dated: March 22, 2005
Tarrytown, New York